## Immigration Court Observation -Master Calendar Hearing- Non-Detained

1. Date:	2. Shift time:		8:30-10:30	10:30-12:30	1:30-3:30	3:30-5:00	
3. Observer (full name):							
4. Judge: Carr Hansen Mazzie	Miller	Sardelli	Wood	Other:			
5. DHS Attorney:			Appears:	in courtroom	via webex	audio only	
6. Number of individuals on the docket: mor	ning:		afternoon:				
7. Anything of note in the courtroom lobby:							
8. Please document the number of hearings are conducted together).					nt as one heari	ng if cases	
Total hearings conducted:			No-show outcomes:				
R. in person:			_	nted continuance			
R. remote (video/phone):		^	lo-show cas	e dismissed:			
R. pro se:		^	lo-show ren	noved in absentia	:		
R. represented:		^	lo-show uns	sure outcome:			
DHS motion to terminate/dismiss:		^	lo-show oth	er outcome:			
Outcomes:		<u>L</u>	anguage:				
Granted continuance:		(	ase not hea	rd, no interpreter	:		
Case dismissed:		E	nglish Spea	kers:			
Admin close:		S	panish Spea	kers:			
Removal order:		_	ther Langua	ages:			
Unsure outcome:		S	pecify Lang	uages:			
Other outcome:							
R. <u>No-shows</u> :							
9. Interpretation issues (check any issues that Incomplete interpretation Technical policy Technical policy).  10. Comments about interpretation:	roblems	Not in	oreferred la	nguage			
11. If any respondents live outside MN, pleas	se list the s	states here	<u> </u>				
12. Geographic or transportation challenges							
	J	J		0 <u> </u>			
13. When are continued hearings being sche	duled? Lis	t soonest a	and latest da	ate:			
14. If there were requests for sooner and lat	ter continu	uance date	s, please co	mment:			

15. If the DHS attorney discussed "the Doyle Memo", prosecutorial discretion, or case dismissal, please comment on
what was said
16. If DHS moved to dismiss a case, discuss whether the respondent was given adequate information about the implications of dismissal and time to decide, and if the Judge granted dismissal at this hearing:
17. New procedures or arguments noted:
18. Comments about how the Judge related to respondents, attorneys, and other court personnel:
10. Comments on hobovier and local arguments of DUS atternovy
19. Comments on behavior and legal arguments of DHS attorney:
20. Comments about respondents (prepared, confused, asked questions, etc.):
21. Mention of alternatives to detention (ATD) in use/ problems noted:
22. Issues of note in the courtroom (technology problems, crowded, hard to hear, etc.):
23. Additional impressions, questions, observations, quotes:
. , , , , ,